

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,194	07/28/2003	Vetrivel Ayyavu	03-0392	6124
PETER SCOTT	7590 02/20/2007 Γ		EXAM	INER
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION M/S D-106 1551 MCCARTHY BLVD. MILPITAS, CA 95035			PEYTON, TAMMARA R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2182	
			·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/628,194	AYYAVU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tammara R. Peyton	2182
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vorailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		·
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-21 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Restriction Argument

Applicant argues that species I and II are not patentably distinct and are not a burden. Examiner disagrees with Applicant. Species II has a separate utility such as " a method for facilitating handshaking between the higher layers of a host device and the lower layers of a host device, comprising: entering a command in a command to be executed queue; retrieving the command via an automation circuit; checking conditions associated with the command; and communicating with a transport layer to perform an action associated with the command." Species I and Species II are patentably distinct. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive and the restriction rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002

do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nemazie (US2004/0252716).

As per claims 1 and 11, Nemazie teaches a standard advanced technology attachment queuing automation circuit, comprising:

a first circuit for storing a command (request) from a higher layer (application/command layer); a second circuit for creating a frame information structure (FIS) corresponding to the command, communicating with a transport layer, and transmitting the frame information structure to the transport layer; [0016, 0097-0100] and

a third circuit (Fig. 6a,6b, 9) for decoding the received FIS and taking an appropriate action. [0026]

As per claim 2, Nemazie teaches wherein the command to be executed queue is implemented through software code. (Fig. 8a-9) [0134-0155, 0191]

As per claims 3-5, Nemazie teaches wherein the command to be executed queue is implemented through hardware. (Fig. 8a-9)

Art Unit: 2182

As per claims 6-10, Nemazie teaches further comprising a command completion queue [0133,0147] and wherein the command completion queue is implemented in software or hardware.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammara Peyton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4157. The examiner can normally be reached between 6:30 - 4:00 from Monday to Thursday, (I am off every first Friday), and 6:30-3:00 every second Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh, can be reached on (571) 272-4083. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3718. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Mailed responses to this action should be sent to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231.

Faxes for Official/formal (After Final) communications or for informal or draft communications (please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") sent to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

USTPO, 2011 South Clark Place, Customer Window

Samme Peyz

Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby Room 1B03, Arlington, VA, 22202Crystal Park II, 2121.

TAMMARA PEYTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tammara Peyton

February 11, 2007